

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/964,415 09/28/2001		Ibraheem Badejo	108773	1494		
27049	7590 12/17/2002					
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			PATTEN, PATRICIA A			
ALLAANDI	MA, VA 22320					
	<b>7</b> .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1654			
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/964,415

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Badejo, I.

Examiner

Patricia Patten

Art Unit 165

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	e correst	oondence address	3		
Period f	for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In glate of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	days will be n the mailing ED (35 U.S.	considered timely. g date of this communic C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-66</u>	100	_ is/are	pending in the a	application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are	: withdrawn from	n consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		i	s/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		i	s/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		i	s/are objected to	o.		
8) 🗶	Claims 1-66	are subject to	o restrict	tion and/or elect	ion requirement.		
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b)	objected	d to by the Exan	niner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌 apr	proved	b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		this National Sta	age		
_		·		-1			
<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>							
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			) and/or 121.			
Attachm		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	33 .20	4.10,01.12.11			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-4	13) Paper N	ło(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/964,415 Page 2

Art Unit:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-31 and 64, drawn to a composition comprising a 1,1-distubstituted ethylene monomer and a stabilizer, classified in class 424, subclass 448 for example.
- II. Claims 32- 63, drawn to a method for making an adhesive composition of claim 1, classified in class 156, subclass 325 for example.
- III. Claims 65-66, drawn to a method of treating tissue comprising application of the composition of claim 1 to tissue, classified in class 424, subclass 725 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process may be used to make various products, as indicated by the claims themselves. The

Art Unit:

polymerizable material may be in combination with numerous types of plant extracts, thus rendering each composition different, possessing respective medicinal qualities. .

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product may be carried out via respective compositions as evidenced by the claims themselves. The claims offer numerous plant extracts which could function as the 'stabilizer'. Each of these extracts possess respective medicinal qualities, and thus constitutes different patentable subject matter.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ because Invention II is a method for making a product and Invention III is a method for treating tissue. Therefore, t he methods of Groups II and III are independent since they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone. For example, Invention III could be carried out by sterilizing the composition before addition into a container. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive

Art Unit:

particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an

Claims 1-66 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising stabilizers such as herbal extracts, anti-inflammatories and vasoconstrictors. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. For example, Applicant is asked to select either 1) herbal extracts, ceramides, anti-inflammatories or vasoconstrictors for examination on the merits. Further, Applicant is asked to elect a single sub-species from the elected species; i.e., one plant extract or one anti-inflammatory agent for example. Applicant is asked to elect each species/sub-species from either of elected Groups I-III.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,415

Page 5

Art Unit:

A telephone call was not made to the Attorney in this matter due to the complexity of the restriction requirement. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Patricia Patten, whose telephone number is (703)308-1189. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Brenda Brumback is on 703-306-3220 The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

12/16/02

Patricia Patten